



Therapeutic Plasma Exchange Technology Overview

Centrifugal therapeutic plasma exchange (cTPE)

The Spectra Optia system and other cTPE systems use differences in the specific gravity and sedimentation velocity of blood cells and plasma to separate specific blood components. Red blood cells (RBCs), white blood cells (WBCs), and platelets are centrifuged to separate components into layers, allowing plasma to be removed and replaced. Because the plasma is not filtered, there is no preferential removal of small proteins. With continuous flow centrifugation, blood is continuously added into the centrifuge; blood cells are separated from plasma, mixed with replacement fluid, and returned to the patient.¹

Membrane therapeutic plasma exchange (mTPE)

In mTPE, a membrane filtration system (membrane system) is used to separate blood components based on differences in particle size. The plasma is separated from the cellular elements by pumping whole blood through a filter that allows plasma to pass through but retains blood cells. Depending on the characteristics of the membranes, there is differential removal of proteins based on size. The majority of modern membrane filters use hollow-fiber technology, which offers a greater filter surface area than the older parallel-plate technology.¹

Therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) with secondary plasma processing

TPE with secondary plasma processing (also known as online plasma processing or plasma regeneration) has become common for some disease indications. It is used with both centrifugation and membrane systems by adding a secondary plasma processing device to the primary plasma separation device. The process of TPE first separates plasma from a patient's blood and then, in a second step, secondary plasma processing is performed. In this step, the plasma is passed through a column-shaped device containing either a membrane filter (cascade or double-filtration plasmapheresis) or an adsorption matrix (adsorption or immuno-adsorption plasmapheresis) that provides selective removal of targeted disease mediators. The treated plasma is then returned to the patient.^{2,3}

Table 1: Spectra Optia system and membrane plasmapheresis distinctions

Attributes	Spectra Optia System	Membrane Systems	Benefits
Procedure time			
Setup and priming	11 minutes ^{4,5}	23 to 40 minutes ^{5,6}	The setup and priming time for mTPE differs depending on the device (can take up to 40 minutes) and is longer than 11 minutes. ^{4,6}
Time to exchange 1 L of plasma	24.5 to 33 minutes ^{5,6,8} Median of 30.6 minutes with a minimum of 10.7 minutes ⁷	36 to 37 minutes ^{5,6,8}	The total procedure time depends on the amount of plasma volume exchanged, which is influenced by the hematocrit (Hct), the total blood volume of the patient, and the clinician's choice. Procedure time is directly related to plasma removal efficiency (see next row).
Plasma removal efficiency	80% to 87% ^{5,8-12}	27% to 53.2% ^{2,5,8}	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plasma removal efficiency (PRE) represents the volume of plasma that can be removed per volume of plasma that is processed by a specific device^{5,9}; $PRE = (\text{Plasma removed} / \text{Plasma processed}) \times 100$. Because centrifugal systems are more efficient than membrane systems, they remove 2 to 3 times more plasma per volume of whole blood processed. This allows for shorter procedure times and/or lower whole blood flow rates as desired.^{2,8} In general, higher blood flow rates are used on mTPE devices, which can partially compensate for the plasma removal efficiency.⁵
Blood flow rate and access			
Inlet/blood flow rate	Inlet flow rate up to 142 mL/min For small patients, a flow rate of 5 mL/min or less can be used.	mTPE procedures require blood flow rates of at least 40 mL/min (depends on the filter type used). ¹³	
Access	<p>Multiple venous access options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Peripheral venous access Central venous catheter (CVC) Arteriovenous (AV) fistula or AV graft Implanted ports <p>Lower blood flow rates can be supported via peripheral venous access on the Spectra Optia system, making the procedure less invasive if needed.</p>	<p>Multiple venous access options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Peripheral venous access Central venous catheter (CVC) Arteriovenous (AV) fistula or AV graft Implanted ports <p>mTPE devices require higher blood flow rates (see above) so it is often advised to use venous access through a CVC for a successful TPE procedure with this type of device.¹⁴</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Spectra Optia system allows a single- or dual-needle option. If venous access or one of the lines of the catheter is compromised, the Spectra Optia system provides the option to perform the procedure with only single-needle access. TPE with peripheral venous access instead of CVC reduces the risk of infection up to 80%.^{2,14,15}
Anticoagulant	Citrate	Heparin in most cases, but citrate is often in the replacement fluid.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Because citrate anticoagulates the extracorporeal circuit in cTPE procedures, citrate-induced adverse events (which occur during 0.08% to 1.2% of procedures)¹⁶ tend to be mild and self-limiting. These adverse events may be treated with prophylactic calcium.^{14,17} Heparin-induced adverse events are rare but serious: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Because citrate is neutralized as soon as it mixes with systemic blood, there is no systemic bleeding risk as there is with heparin.¹⁸ Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia occurs in 0.5% to 5% of procedures.^{14,17,19}

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The half-life of citrate in the blood of a patient with normal renal and hepatic functionality is around 36 minutes.²⁰ For heparin, the half-life in the human system is 30 to 150 minutes, depending on the dose infused to the patient.²¹ ▪ The Spectra Optia system regulates the rate of citrate infusion to minimize hypocalcemia. ▪ When citrate is used with a membrane system, citrate toxicity is more likely because more citrate is needed in proportion to the higher flow rate and larger volume of blood processed.²
Clotting	No clotting event resulting in premature ending of a procedure or obliged use of an additional tubing set has ever been reported on the Spectra Optia system in the peer-reviewed literature.	High frequency of filter clotting. Clotting events resulting in premature ending of a procedure or obliged use of an additional tubing set have been observed in 7% to 33% of the procedures in five separate reports and studies. ^{5,6,8,22,23}	Filter clotting in mTPE is very time-consuming and results in patient inconvenience and/or premature ending of the procedure. Alternatively, it may require the use of an additional (part of a) tubing set. In some studies, an average of up to 50% additional tubing sets per procedure has been reported. ⁹ This results in higher cost.

Table 2: General comparison of adverse events between the two types of procedures

Attributes	Centrifugal Systems	Membrane Systems	Benefits
Adverse events (in % of procedures with reported adverse events)	6% ²⁴	11% ²⁴	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Therapeutic plasma exchange, like other therapeutic treatments, is associated with a low occurrence of adverse events.^{24,25} Adverse events related to access, fluid balance, immune reactions, and infection are common to cTPE and mTPE devices. While hemolysis can occur with both cTPE and mTPE, hemolysis related to high transmembrane pressure is seen only in mTPE procedures.^{6,26} ▪ Filters cannot exceed certain maximum transmembrane pressure requirements per manufacturer recommendation; if the recommended requirement is exceeded, fibers may rupture, resulting in blood contamination of the plasma.²⁷ ▪ Possible bleeding¹⁶ and complement activation have also been described only in mTPE and not in cTPE.^{28,29}

References

- ¹Burgstaler E. Chapter 4: Current instrumentation for apheresis. In: McLeod BC, ed. *Apheresis: Principles and Practice*. 3rd ed. Bethesda, Maryland: AABB Press; 2010.
- ²Ward DM. Conventional apheresis therapies: a review. *J Clin Apher*. 2011;26(5):230-238. doi: 10.1002/jca.20302.
- ³Siami GA, Siami FS. Membrane plasmapheresis in the United States: a review over the last 20 years. *Ther Apher*. 2001;5(4):315-320. doi: 10.1046/j.1526-0968.2001.00316.x.
- ⁴Timing study based on internal laboratory time studies using highly trained operators. All times are approximate and results may vary depending on operator experience. Actual procedure run time is not included in this summary due to dependency on patient and procedure parameters. Data on file. Time includes unpacking the coils and bags; snapping on the cassette or cartridge; threading lines into valves and pressure sensors; connecting fluids; loading fluid detectors; and unpacking and loading the channel and loop.
- ⁵Kes P, Janssens ME, Basic-Jukic N, Kljak M. A randomized crossover study comparing membrane and centrifugal therapeutic plasma exchange procedures. *Transfusion*. 2016;56(12):3065-3072. doi: 10.1111/trf.13850.
- ⁶Puppe B, Kingdon EJ. Membrane and centrifugal therapeutic plasma exchange: practical difficulties in anticoagulating the extracorporeal circuit. *Clin Kidney J*. 2014;7(2):201-205. doi: 10.1093/ckj/sft163.
- ⁷Data on file; encompasses data from more than 40,000 procedures.
- ⁸Hafer C, Golla P, Gericke M, et al. Membrane versus centrifuge-based therapeutic plasma exchange: a randomized prospective crossover study. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2016;48(1):133-138. doi: 10.1007/s11255-015-1137-3.
- ⁹Tormey CA, Peddinghaus ME, Erickson M, et al. Improved plasma removal efficiency for therapeutic plasma exchange using a new apheresis platform. *Transfusion*. 2010;50(2):471-477. doi: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2009.02412.x.
- ¹⁰Lambert C, Gericke M, Smith R, Hermans C. Plasma extraction rate and collection efficiency during therapeutic plasma exchange with Spectra Optia in comparison with Haemonetics MCS+. *J Clin Apher*. 2011;26(1):17-22. doi: 10.1002/jca.20271.
- ¹¹Hequet O, Stocco V, Assari S, et al. Comparison of plasma exchange performances between Spectra Optia and COBE Spectra apheresis systems in repeated procedures considering variability and using specific statistical models. *Transfus Apher Sci*. 2014;51(1):47-53. doi: 10.1016/j.transci.2014.07.005.
- ¹²Cid J, Molina JM, Mustieles MJ, Periañez, Lozano M. Comparison of plasma exchange procedures using three apheresis systems. *Transfusion*. 2015;55(5):1001-1007. doi: 10.1111/trf.12948.
- ¹³PlasmaTech. ROSA™ Disposable Membrane Plasmafilter. <http://www.plasmatech-fzc.com/rosa000.html>. Accessed 10 November 2016.
- ¹⁴Okafor C, Ward DM, Mokrzycki MH, Weinstein R, Clark P, Balogun RA. Introduction and overview of therapeutic apheresis. *J Clin Apher*. 2010;25(5):240-249. doi: 10.1002/jca.20247.
- ¹⁵Chhibber V, King VE. Chapter 12: Management of the therapeutic apheresis patient. In: McLeod BC, ed. *Apheresis: Principles and Practice*. 3rd ed. Bethesda, Maryland: AABB Press; 2010.
- ¹⁶Linenberger ML, Price TH. Use of cellular and plasma apheresis in the critically ill patient — Part 1: technical and physiological considerations. *J Intensive Care Med*. 2005;20(1):18-27. doi: 10.1177/0885066604271394.
- ¹⁷Messmore HL, Jeske WP, Wehrmacher WH, Walenga JM. Benefit-risk assessment of treatments for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia *Drug Safety*. 2003;26(9):625-641. doi: 10.2165/00002018-200326090-00003.
- ¹⁸Ward DM, et al. Extracorporeal Management of acute renal failure patients at high risk of bleeding. *Kidney Int*. 1993;41(suppl):S237-S244.
- ¹⁹Jang IK, Hursting MJ. When heparins promote thrombosis: review of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Circulation*. 2005;111(20):2671-2683. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.104.518563.
- ²⁰Kramer L, Bauer E, Joukhadar C, et al. Citrate pharmacokinetics and metabolism in cirrhotic and noncirrhotic critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med*. 2003;31(10):2450-2455. doi: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000084871.76568.E6.
- ²¹Hirsh J, Anand SS, Halperin JL, Fuster V. Guide to anticoagulant therapy: heparin. A statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2001;103(24):2994-3018. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.103.24.2994.
- ²²Betz C, Buettner S, Geiger H, Jung O. Regional citrate anticoagulation in therapeutic plasma exchange with fresh frozen plasma—a modified protocol. *Int J Artif Organs*. 2013;36(11):803-811. doi: 10.5301/ijao.5000245.
- ²³Paton E, Baldwin IC. Plasma exchange in the intensive care unit: a 10 year retrospective audit. *Aust Crit Care*. 2014;27(3):139-144. doi: 10.1016/j.aucc.2013.10.001.
- ²⁴Mörtzell Henriksson M, Newman E, Witt V, et al. Adverse events in apheresis: An update of the WAA registry data. *Transfus Apher Sci*. 2016;54(1):2-15. doi: 10.1016/j.transci.2016.01.003.
- ²⁵Stegmayr B, Ptak J, Wikström B, et al. World apheresis registry 2003-2007 data. *Transfus Apher Sci*. 2008;39(3):247-254. doi: 10.1016/j.transci.2008.09.003.
- ²⁶Pusey CD, Levy JB. Plasmapheresis in immunologic renal disease. *Blood Purif*. 2012;33(1-3):190-198. doi: 10.1159/000334155.
- ²⁷Reimann PM, Mason PD. Plasmapheresis: technique and complications. *Intensive Care Med*. 1990;16(1):3-10. doi: 10.1007/BF01706318.
- ²⁸Linenberger ML, Price TH. Use of cellular and plasma apheresis in the critically ill patient — Part 1: technical and physiological considerations. *J Intensive Care Med*. 2005;20(1):18-27. doi: 10.1177/0885066604271394.
- ²⁹Madore F. Plasmapheresis: technical aspects and indications. *Crit Care Clin*. 2002;18(2):375-392. doi: 10.1016/s0749-0704(01)00010-0.
- ³⁰Burnouf T, Eber M, Kientz D, Cazenave JP, Burkhardt T. Assessment of complement activation during membrane-based plasmapheresis procedures. *J Clin Apher*. 2004;19(3):142-147. doi: 10.1002/jca.20019.

Spectra Optia™ is either a registered trademark or a trademark of Terumo BCT, Inc. in the United States and/or other countries.

See TerumoBCT.com/trademarks for details.



Terumo Blood and Cell Technologies is a medical technology company. Our products, software, and services enable customers to collect and prepare blood and cells to help treat challenging diseases and conditions. Our employees around the world believe in the potential of blood and cells to do even more for patients than they do today. TerumoBCT.com

Terumo BCT, Inc.
Lakewood, CO, USA
+1.303.231.4357

Terumo BCT Europe N.V.
Zaventem, Belgium
+32.2.715.0590

Terumo BCT Asia Pte. Ltd.
Singapore
+65.6715.3778

Terumo BCT Latin America S.A.
Buenos Aires, Argentina
+54.11.5530.5200

Terumo BCT Japan, Inc.
Tokyo, Japan
+81.3.6743.7890