# 5 Myths of Plasma Exchange

An immunomodulatory therapy



Therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) is a well-established immunomodulatory therapy. How do you think about TPE and the role it can play in your practice today?

## Myth 1: Plasma exchange is unsafe



**Reality:** Plasma exchange is known to be safe and well-tolerated, with the majority of reactions being mild to moderate, easily treated, and of limited duration.<sup>1</sup> The latest update of the World Apheresis Association (WAA) registry of over 15,000 centrifugal TPE (cTPE) procedures reported that **93.9% of patients did not experience any adverse events (AEs).**<sup>2</sup>

For the 6.1% of patients who did experience AEs2:

- 1.6% were mild.
- 3.8% were moderate.
- 0.7% were severe.

#### Myth 2: Plasma exchange is inconvenient



**Reality:** Many hospitals have established standard processes to ensure that plasma exchange is available through inpatient or outpatient services. According to a 2013 study by Guptill and colleagues, 134 patients receiving TPE showed<sup>3</sup>:

- 75% of TPE courses were successfully performed using peripheral venous access.
- Of the 100 patients receiving TPE via peripheral venous access, 65% were treated as outpatients.

### Myth 3: Plasma exchange is invasive and requires central access



**Reality:** Centrifugal plasma exchange can be carried out via peripheral or central venous access.

- In several studies, apheresis procedures were performed peripherally in 64.3% to 94.6% of cases.<sup>2,4-5</sup>
- TPE with peripheral venous access instead of a central venous catheter (CVC) reduces the risk of infection up to 80%.<sup>6</sup> In some patients, peripheral venous access may not be feasible.<sup>7-8</sup>

## Myth 4: All plasma exchange is the same



**Reality:** The plasma removal efficiency for cTPE is higher than that for membrane TPE (mTPE). <sup>9-15</sup> This can have an important impact on the patient experience, allowing shorter procedure times and lower flow rates to enable peripheral access.

Mean plasma removal efficiency is 87% for cTPE versus 38% for mTPE.9-15

## Myth 5: Plasma exchange takes a long time



Reality: Several studies show that cTPE procedures are typically shorter than mTPE procedures. 13-16

- Of more than 40,000 cTPE procedures, the median procedure time was 1 hour and 45 minutes.¹6
- In one study, it took the cTPE device one-third less time to finalize a procedure, compared to the mTPE device.<sup>13</sup>

#### Safety information

#### Contraindications:

Leukocytapheresis is contraindicated in acute myeloid leukemia FAB M3 (acute promyelocytic leukemia) because of the accompanying disseminated intravascular coagulation.<sup>17-18</sup>

Other contraindications for the use of apheresis systems are limited to those associated with the infusion of solutions and replacement fluids as required by the apheresis procedure and those associated with all types of automated apheresis systems.

#### Adverse events of apheresis procedures can include19:

Anxiety, headache, light-headedness, digital and/or facial paresthesia, fever, chills, hematoma, hyperventilation, nausea and vomiting, syncope (fainting), urticaria, hypotension, allergic reactions, infection, hemolysis, thrombosis in patient and device, hypocalcemia, hypokalemia, thrombocytopenia, hypoalbuminemia, anemia, coagulopathy, fatigue, hypomagnesemia, hypogammaglobulinemia, adverse tissue reaction, device failure/disposable set failure, air embolism, blood loss/anemia, electrical shock, fluid imbalance, and inadequate separation of blood components.

# Reactions to blood products transfused during procedures can include:

Hemolytic transfusion reaction, immune-mediated platelet destruction, fever, allergic reactions, anaphylaxis, transfusion-related acute lung injury (TRALI), alloimmunization, posttransfusion purpura (PTP), transfusion-associated graft-versus-host disease (TA-GVHD), circulatory overload, hypothermia, metabolic complications, and transmission of infectious diseases and bacteria. 20,21,22

#### Restricted to prescription use only.

- Operators must be familiar with the system's operating instructions.
- Procedures must be performed by qualified medical personnel.

#### References

<sup>1</sup>Winters JL. Plasma exchange: concepts, mechanisms, and an overview of the American Society for Apheresis guidelines. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2012:7-12.

<sup>2</sup>Mortzell Henriksson M, Newman E, Witt V, et al. Adverse events in apheresis: an update of the WAA registry data. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2016;54(1):2-15.

<sup>3</sup>Guptill JT, Oakley D, Kuchibhatla M, et al. A retrospective study of complications of therapeutic plasma exchange in myasthenia gravis. *Muscle Nerve.* 2013;47(2):170-176.

<sup>4</sup>Noseworthy JH, Shumak KH, Vandervoort MK. Long-term use of antecubital veins for plasma exchange. The Canadian Cooperative Multiple Sclerosis Study Group. *Transfusion*. 1989;29(7):610-613.

<sup>5</sup>Putensen D, Leverett D, Patel B, Rivera J. Is peripheral access for apheresis procedures underutilized in clinical practice? A single centre experience. *J Clin Apher.* 2017;32(6):553-559.

Okafor C, Ward DM, Mokrzycki MH, Weinstein RA, Clark P, Balogun RA. Introduction and overview of therapeutic apheresis. J Clin Apher. 2010;25(5):240-249.

<sup>7</sup>Stegmayr B, Wikdahl A. Access in therapeutic apheresis. *Ther Apher Dial.* 2003;7(2):209-214.

<sup>8</sup>Schonermarck U, Bosch T, Vascular access for apheresis in intensive care patients. *Ther Apher Dial.* 2003;7(2):215-220.

<sup>9</sup>Tormey CA, Peddinghaus ME, Erickson M, et al. Improved plasma removal efficiency for therapeutic plasma exchange using a new apheresis platform. *Transfusion*. 2010;50(2):471-477.

10Cid J, Molina JM, Mustieles MJ, Periañez, Lozano M. Comparison of plasma exchange procedures using three apheresis systems. Transfusion. 2015;55(5):1001-1007.

<sup>11</sup>Hequet O, Stocco V, Assari S, et al. Comparison of plasma exchange performances between Spectra Optia and COBE Spectra apheresis systems in repeated procedures considering variability and using specific statistical models. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2014;51(1):47-53.

<sup>12</sup>Lambert C, et al. Plasma extraction rate and collection efficiency during therapeutic plasma exchange with Spectra Optia in comparison with Haemonetics MCS+. *J Clin Apher.* 2011;26(1):17-22.

<sup>13</sup>Kes P, Janssens ME, Basic-Jukic N, Kljak M. A randomized crossover study comparing membrane and centrifugal therapeutic plasma exchange procedures. *Transfusion*. 2016;56(12):3065-3072.

<sup>14</sup>Hafer C, Golla P, Gericke M, et al. Membrane versus centrifuge-based therapeutic plasma exchange: a randomized prospective crossover study. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2016:48(1):133-138

<sup>15</sup>Janssens ME, Maru B, De Reys S. Literature review: Spectra Optia Apheresis System therapeutic plasma exchange. 2015-2024. Terumo Blood and Cell Technologies. TS-OPTI-02457

16Timing study based on internal time studies using highly trained operators. Time is approximate and results may vary depending on operator experience. Data on file.

<sup>17</sup>Vahdat L, Maslak P, Miller WH Jr, et al. Early mortality and the retinoic acid syndrome in acute promyelocytic leukemia: impact of leukocytosis, low-dose chemotherapy, PMN/RAR-alpha isoform and CD13 expression in patients treated with all-trans retinoic acid. *Blood*. 1994;84(11):3843-3849.

<sup>18</sup>Daver N, Kantarjian H, Marcucci G, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes in patients with acute promyelotic leukaemia and hyperleucocytosis. *Br J Haematol.* 2015;168(5):646-653

<sup>19</sup> Terumo Blood and Cell Technologies. Spectra Optia Apheresis System Operator's Manual. Part no. 777377708. 2015.

<sup>20</sup>AABB. Circular of Information for the Use of Human Blood and Blood Components. Bethesda, MD: AABB; 2017.

<sup>21</sup>European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines & HealthCare (EDQM). *Guide to the Preparation, Use and Quality Assurance of Blood Components.* 20th edition. Strasbourg, France: EDQM Council of Europe; 2020.

<sup>22</sup> Toy P, Gajic O, Bacchetti P, et al. Transfusion-related acute lung injury: incidence and risk factors. *Blood*. 2012;119(7):1757-1767.



Terumo Blood and Cell Technologies is a medical technology company. Our products, software, and services enable customers to collect and prepare blood and cells to help treat challenging diseases and conditions. Our employees around the world believe in the potential of blood and cells to do even more for patients than they do today. **TerumoBCT.com**